Studying Employment Following Traumatic Brain Injury

Therese M. O'Neil-Pirozzi, Sc.D., CCC-SLP, Spaulding/Partners Traumatic Brain Injury Model System at Harvard Medical School & Northeastern University; John D. Corrigan, Ph.D., Ohio Regional Traumatic Brain Injury Model System, Department of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation, Ohio State University, Columbus, Ohio; and Flora Hammond, M.D., Carolinas Traumatic Brain Injury Rehabilitation and Research System Traumatic Brain Injury Model System, Charlotte Institute of Rehabilitation, Charlotte, North Carolina

This technical report is intended as a resource for researchers in the area of traumatic brain injury (TBI) who are interested in studying employment. While the measurement portion of this report is based on TBI Model System methodology, that methodology is the result of reviews of methods for collecting such data in healthy, TBI, and other populations. As a result, this information should be useful to researchers regardless of their involvement in the TBI Model Systems.

This report is organized into three sections:

- Research on Traumatic Brain Injury and Employment;
- Challenges Related to Studying Employment in Traumatic Brain Injury;
- Measurement of Employment in the TBI Model System.

The first two sections provide background information that is useful for considering the information presented in the third section.

You can download a Portable Document Format (PDF) version of the Studying Employment Issues Report.

This technical report was contributed by the Spaulding/Partners at Harvard Medical School, Ohio Regional, and Carolinas Traumatic Brain Injury Rehabilitation and Research System at Charlotte Institute of Rehabilitation TBI Model Systems. Please contact Therese M. O'Neil-Pirozzi, Sc.D., at <toneilpi@lynx.dac.neu.edu> for more information.

Research on Traumatic Brain Injury and Employment

Logically, a research report on TBI and employment should include information on employment in the general population. However, identifying resources to examine employment in the general population is challenging. Resources' systems for data collection, analysis, and reporting vary to such an extent that it can be difficult to make generalizations across multiple databases. For example, the timeframe within which resources' data is collected frequently varies. Also, there is significant variability in how

individual resources report their findings such that drawing conclusions from a single resource's database can also be difficult. For example, for some variables in a database, age-related employment data is presented for individuals 16 years and older; for other variables in the same database, the same data is presented for individuals between the ages of 21 and 64 years of age. In spite of such challenges, the U.S. Census Bureau and the U.S. Department of Labor's Bureau of Labor Statistics are two good resources for information regarding employment and nationwide employment norms in the general population. A summary of relevant employment norms for the general U.S. population, abstracted primarily from these two resources, follows (Table 1).

Table 1. Employment Norms for the General Population

Variable Variable	Individuals	Reference	
, was also as	(16 years and older)	1101010100	
Total Population 18 years	209,128,094	1	
and over	_ 0, , _ 0, , , ,	_	
Population Employed	217,168,077	1	
Population age 21-64 years	159,131,544	2	
" ", no disability	128,577,748	2	
" ", employed	99,262,049	2	
Employment Continuity Per Year:			
Mean Hourly Wage Across Occupations	\$16.	3	
Mean Annual Wages	\$34,020.	3	
Annual Income		4	
and Benefits:			
Less than \$10,000.	9,790,454		
\$10,000. to \$14,999.	6,971,175		
\$15,000. to \$24,999.	14,036,218		
\$25,000. to \$34,999.	13,499,899		
\$35,000. to \$49,999.	17,193,083		
\$50,000. to \$74,999.	20,100,827		
\$75,000. to \$99,999.	10,489,213		
\$100,000. to \$149,999.	8,120,571		
\$150,000. to \$199,999.	2,343,556		
\$200,000. or more	2,273,999		
Average Household Income	\$55,409.	4	
Average Earnings	\$56,025.	4	
Monthly Earnings		5	
Male Full-Time	\$2,190.		
Female Full-Time	\$1,470.		

The consequences of a TBI on an individual's work productivity and, as a result, society at large can be devastating. In its Spring 2001 publication "Traumatic Brain Injury Facts

and Figures," the Traumatic Brain Injury Model System (TBI MS) National Data Center reported that approximately 59% of 2,553 persons who sustained a TBI were competitively employed at the time of their injury (6). Based on the same analysis of the TBI MS Database, one year post-injury, only 24% of persons who sustained a TBI were competitively employed. What happened to the others? A number of different scenarios exist. For example, following a TBI, some individuals decide not to return to work; some are unable to return to work as a result of their injury; and some attempt to return to work but are unsuccessful doing so, either in the short term or over the long term. One estimate of the annual indirect costs of TBI associated with lost productivity and wages, continued health maintenance, and long-term care was reported to be 22 billion dollars (7).

Multiple factors have been identified as prognostic indicators for successful/unsuccessful return to work following a TBI. Among these are: severity of injury (i.e., the more severe the injury, the less likely return to work is) (8-10); age at time of injury (i.e., the older the individual at time of injury, the less likely return to work is) (11, 12); and preinjury education level and marital status (i.e., if not a high school graduate and if single, the less likely return to work is) (11-13).

Comparing norms for individuals following a TBI with those for the general population is one way to objectively measure the impact of such an injury on employment. However, the ability to make these comparisons is severely compromised because equivalent TBI norms have not been published (Table 2). Such comparisons might be one way to objectively measure the impact of such injuries on individual and societal work productivity and help justify the need for more resources and supports to counter this problem that is otherwise not likely to improve. However, significant obstacles challenge the feasibility of making these comparisons, as will be discussed in the next section of this report.

Table 2. Employment Norms for the General and TBI Populations

Variable	General	Reference	TBI	Reference
	Population		Population	
	(16 years and			
	older)			
Total Population	209,128,094	1	5,300,000	6
18 years and over				
Population	217,168,077	1	1,272,000	6
Employed				
Population age 21-	159,131,544	2	not	
64 years			reported	
" ", employed	99,262,049	2	" "	
Employment			not	
Continuity			reported	
Per Year:				
Mean Hourly	\$16.	3		

Wage Across				
Occupations				
Mean Hourly	\$34,020.	3		
Wages				
Annual Income		4	not	
and Benefits:			reported	
Less than	9,790,454			
\$10,000.				
\$10,000. to	6,971,175			
\$14,999.				
\$15,000. to	14,036,218			
\$24,999.				
\$25,000. to	13,499,899			
\$34,999.	,			
\$35,000. to	17,193,083			
\$49,999.	, ,			
\$50,000. to	20,100,827			
\$74,999.				
\$75,000. to	10,489,213			
\$99,999.				
\$100,000. to	8,120,571			
\$149,999.				
\$150,000. to	2,343,556			
\$199,999.	, ,			
\$200,000. or	2,273,999			
more				
Average	\$55,409.	4	not	
Household Income			reported	
Average Earnings	\$56,025.	4	" "	
Monthly Earnings		5	" "	
Male Full-Time	\$2,190.			
Female Full-	\$1,470.			
Time				

References

- 1. U.S. Census Bureau; Profile of Selected Economic Characteristics: 2000; Data Set: Census 2000 Summary File 3 (SF 3)- Sample Data; Geographic Area: United States; www.factfinder.census.gov
- 2. U.S. Census Bureau; DP-2 Profile of Selected Social Characteristics: 2000; Data Set: Census 2000 Summary File 3 (SF 3)- Sample Data; Geographic Area: United States; www.factfinder.census.gov
- 3. U.S. Department of Labor: Bureau of Labor Statistics; 2001 National Occupational Employment and Wage Estimates, All Occupations; www.bls.gov/oes/2001/oes 00al.htm
- 4. U.S. Census Bureau; Profile of Selected Economic Characteristics: 2000; Data Set: Census 2000 Supplementary Survey Summary Tables; Geographic Area: United

- States; www.factfinder.census.gov
- 5. McNeil (1997), Americans with Disabilities: 1994-1995; Survey; SIPP, 1994-1995; Monthly Earnings of Working People By Disability Status; www.ncddr.org/rpp/emp/images/fig2b.gif
- 6. The Traumatic Brain Injury Model Systems National Data Center. Traumatic Brain Injury Facts and Figures 2001; 7(1): 8.
- 7. Yasuda, S., Wehman, P., Targett, P., Cifu, D., & West, M. Return to work for persons with traumatic brain injury. American Journal of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation 2001; 80(11): 853-864.
- 8. Cifu, D.X., Keyser-Marcus, L., Lopez, E., Wehman, P., Kreutzer, J.S., Englander, J., & High, W. Acute predictors of successful return to work one year after traumatic brain injury: A multicenter analysis. Archives of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation 1997; 78(2): 125-131.
- 9. Rao, N., Rosenthal, M., Cronin-Stubbs, D., Lambert, R., Barnes, P., & Swanson, B. Return to work after rehabilitation following traumatic brain injury. Brain Injury 1990; 4: 49-56.
- 10. Sander, A., Kreutzer, J.S., Rosenthal, M., Delmonico, R., & Young, M.E. A multicenter longitudinal investigation of return to work and community integration following traumatic brain injury. Journal of Head Trauma Rehabilitation 1996; 11(5): 70-84.
- 11. Ip, R.Y., Dornan, J., & Schentag, C. Traumatic brain injury factors predicting return to work or school. Brain Injury 1995; 9: 517-532.
- 12. Dikmen, S.S., Temkin, N.R., Machamer, J.E., Holubkov, A., Fraser, R., & Winn, R. Employment following traumatic head injuries. Archives of Neurology 1994; 51: 177-186.
- 13. Greenspan, A.I., Wrigley, J.M., Kresnow, M., Branche-Dorsey, C.M., & Fine, P.R. Factors influencing failure to return to work due to traumatic brain injury. Brain Injury, 1996; 10: 207-218.

Challenges Related to Studying Employment in Traumatic Brain Injury

As discussed in the previous section, challenges related to studying employment are not unique to the field of TBI. Identifying resources to examine employment in the general population is also challenging. Resources' systems for data collection, analysis, and reporting vary to such an extent that it can be difficult to make generalizations across multiple databases. Also, there is significant variability in how individual resources report their findings such that drawing conclusions from a single resource's database can also be difficult.

Some of the same challenges exist in the field of TBI. That is, multiple resources have examined, and continue to examine, employment in TBI- e.g., return to work outcomes, efficacy of vocational training programs, and relationships among cognitive, physical, psycho-social, and vocational levels of function. However, data collection, analysis, and reporting methods vary across resources to such an extent that it is difficult to make generalizations across them (1, 2). Some of these methodologic differences relate to

definition of TBI and/or its' severity. Others relate to choice of/definition of predictor measures and/or outcomes. Still others relate to duration of follow-up and/or how postinjury subjects are. As a result, numerous publications regarding TBI employment exist, but comparisons with the general population as well as with other populations, in addition to within-TBI population comparisons are difficult and infrequent. TBI MS efforts to address some of these challenges will be discussed in the next section of this report.

References

- 1. Sander, A., Kreutzer, J.S., Rosenthal, M., Delmonico, R., & Young, M.E. A multicenter longitudinal investigation of return to work and community integration following traumatic brain injury. Journal of Head Trauma Rehabilitation 1996; 11(5): 70-84.
- 2. Yasuda, S., Wehman, P., Targett, P., Cifu, D., & West, M. Return to work for persons with traumatic brain injury. American Journal of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation 2001; 80(11): 853-864.

Measurement of Employment in the TBI Model System

The TBI MS National Dataset assesses employment to determine pre-injury status as well as status at each follow-up. In Form I, pre-injury employment information is elicited from the best available source of information during the subject's rehabilitation hospitalization. In Form II, follow-up questions of both the subject and a family member/significant other inquire about current employment status. Except for minor changes in wording reflecting the timing of some of the questions, the items used in Forms I and II are identical.

Prior to July 2001, in addition to the employment items on the Extended Glasgow Outcome Scale* (GOSE-E), the TBI MS employment questions were limited to queries regarding employment status and hours of paid competitive employment (similar to the current variables 111a and 111b, respectively, as shown below.

Based on discussions initiated by the TBI MS Functional Assessment and Community Evaluation (FACES) Committee in December 1999, a FACES sub-committee, consisting of Ruth Brannon, John Corrigan, and Therese O'Neil-Pirozzi, began researching ways to improve how the TBI MS measured employment. To be at least somewhat consistent with how employment was measured/reported by general and other population groups, as well as to allow for future comparisons between TBI MS employment data and data collected by these other groups, this FACES sub-committee consulted with Susanne Bruyere and Andrew Houtenville of the Rehabilitation Research and Training Center for Economic Research on Employment Policy for People with Disabilities at Cornell University and conducted other independent research investigating ways to measure employment. As a result of these efforts, beginning in July 2001, in addition to the employment items on the GOS-E, the TBI MS employment questions in Form I consisted of the following:

VARIABLE 111a. EMPLOYMENT STATUS

"Before the injury what was your employment status?"

Primary:

Secondary:

Data Entry Options:

-Full time student -Volunteer

-Part time student -Retired (disability)

-Special education -Unemployed (not looking for work)

-Competitively Employed -Hospitalized (no pay) -Taking care of house/family -Retired (other)

-Special Employed/Sheltered -Other

Workshop/Job Coach

-Retired (age) -No secondary employment

-Unemployed (looking) -Unknown

VARIABLE 111b. HOURS/WEEKS PAID COMPETITIVE EMPLOYMENT

"What was the average number of hours you worked in the month before the injury?"

VARIABLE 111d. WEEKS EMPLOYED IN PAID COMPETITIVE EMPLOYMENT

"In the year before your injury, how many weeks were you competitively employed?"

VARIABLE 111f. NUMBER OF EMPLOYERS

"In the year before your injury, for how many different employers were you competitively employed?"

VARIABLE 111g. NUMBER OF TIMES LEFT A JOB

"In the year before your injury, how many times did you quit or resign, get laid off, or get fired?"

VARIABLE 111h. HOURLY WAGE

"What is your best estimate of your hourly wage for your main job in the month prior to the injury?"

VARIABLE 111i. ANNUAL EARNINGS OF PERSON

"What is your best estimate of your total annual salary from all jobs for the year prior to the injury?"

 01=\$9,999 or less (employed)
 08=\$70,000-\$79,000

 02=\$10,000-\$19,999
 09=\$80,000-\$89,000

 03=\$20,000-\$29,999
 10=\$90,000-\$99,000

 04=\$30,000-\$39,999
 11=\$1000,000 or more

05=\$40.000-\$49.999 77=Refused

06=\$50,000-\$59,000 88=N/A, not employed

07=\$60,000-\$69,999 99=Unknown

VARIABLE 112. CENSUS OCCUPATIONAL CATEGORY

09=Farming/Forestry/Fishing 01=Exec/Admin/Manager

02=Professional Specialty 10=Precision Production/Craft/Repair

03=Technician/Support 11=Machine Operator/Assembler/Inspector

12=Transportation/Material Moving 04=Sales 05=Admin Support/Clerical 13=Handler/Equip Clean/Helper/Laborer

06=Private Household 14=Military 07=Protective Service 88=N/A 08=Service other 99=Unknown

The TBI MS employment questions in Form II consisted of the GOS-E employment items and parallel versions of the above Form I questions, with minor changes in wording reflecting the timing of the questions. Two additional employment questions were asked at follow-up visits as follows:

VARIABLE 211c: DATE OF FIRST COMPETITIVE EMPLOYMENT

At one year follow-up: "When did you begin competitive employment following

your injury?"

At all other follow-ups: "When did you begin competitive employment following

your injury?"- asked at all follow-up visits until the individual reported having resumed competitive

employment

VARIABLE 211e: EMPLOYMENT CONTINUITY

At one year follow-up: "Is your current primary employer also who was your

primary employer before your injury?"

At all other follow-ups: "Is your current primary employer also who your primary

employer was at the time of your last follow-up?"

In December 2002, in an effort to streamline the entire TBI MS Dataset and make data collection more efficient, a TBI MS group decision was made to delete variables across categories, including Employment. Alternately, TBI MS researchers may pose specific research hypotheses and therefore investigate deleted variables as part of study-specific methodologies using the TBI MS National Dataset. In addition to the employment items on the GOS-E, the Employment variables that remain are as follows:

VARIABLE 111a. EMPLOYMENT STATUS

VARIABLE 111b. HOURS/WEEKS PAID COMPETITIVE EMPLOYMENT

VARIABLE 111d. WEEKS EMPLOYED IN PAID DCOMPETITIVE EMPLOYMENT

VARIABLE 111i. ANNUAL EARNINGS OF PERSON

VARIABLE 112. CENSUS OCCUPATIONAL CATEGORY

In addition to the GOS-E employment items, the TBI MS employment questions in Form II consist of parallel versions of the above Form I questions, with the target timeframe of the questions as follows:

VARIABLE 211a. 1 month prior to the follow-up interview

VARIABLE 211b. 1 month prior to the follow-up interview

VARIABLE 211c. no timeframe; discontinued after follow-up during which individual reports beginning competitive employment following injury

VARIABLE 211d. 1 year prior to the follow-up interview

VARIABLE 211i. calculated at the rate reported at the time of the follow-up interview

VARIABLE 212. 1 month prior to the follow-up interview

The TBI MS has long-standing commitments to study employment following TBI and improve employment-related outcomes of individuals following such injuries. As supported by this technical report and the TBI MS employment-related publications listed at the end of this document, the TBI MS continues its on-going journey of discovery-asking the right questions, getting relevant answers, and sharing that information with others.

*Information about the Extended Glasgow Outcome Scale (GOS-E) may be found at The TBI Model System Center for Outcome Measurement In Brain Injury (COMBI) website at http://www.tbims.org/combi/gose/index.html.

Acknowledgements: Thank you to Neeta Gupta and Lindsey Lambert, Northeastern University Speech-Language Pathology and Audiology undergraduate majors for their contributions regarding the information presented in Tables 1 and 2.

TBI MODEL SYSTEMS EMPLOYMENT-RELATED PUBLICATIONS*

Boake, C. & High, W.M. Jr. Functional outcome from traumatic brain injury: Unidimensional or multidimensional? American Journal of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation 1996; 75(2) 105-113.

- Cifu, D.X., Keyser-Marcus, L., Lopez, E., Wehman, P., Kreutzer, J.S., Englander, J., & High, W. Acute predictors of successful return to work one year after traumatic brain injury: A multicenter analysis. Archives of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation 1997; 78(2): 125-131.
- Cope, D.N., Cole, J.R., Hall, K.M., & Barkan, H. Brain Injury: Analysis of outcome in a post-acute rehabilitation system I: General analysis. Brain Injury 1991; 5(2): 111-125.
- Cope, D.N., Cole, J.R., Hall, K.M., & Barkan, H. Brain Injury: Analysis of outcome in a post-acute rehabilitation system Part II: Subanalysis. Brain Injury 1991; 5(2): 127-139.
- Devany, C.W., Kreutzer, J.S., Halberstadt, L., & West, D.D. Referrals for supported employment after brain injury: Neuropsychological, behavioral, and emotional characteristics. Journal of Head Trauma Rehabilitation 1991; 6(3): 59-70.
- Englander, J., Hall, K., Stimpson, T., & Chaffin, S. Mild traumatic brain injury in an insured population: Subjective complaints and return to employment. Brain Injury 1992; 6(2): 161-166.
- Hall, K.M., Bushnik, T., Lakisic-Kazazic, B., Wright, J., & Cantagallo, A. Assessing traumatic brain injury outcome measures for long-term follow-up of community-based individuals. Archives of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation 2001; 82(3): 367-374.
- Hall, K., Karzmark, P., Stevens, M., O'Hare, P., Englander, J., & Wright, J.M. Family stress in a traumatic brain injury: A two-year follow-up. Archives of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation 1994; 75(8): 876-884.
- Keyser-Marcus, L.A., Bricout, J.C., Wehman, P., Campbell, L.R., Cifu, D.X., Englander, J., High, W., Zafonte, R.D. Acute predictors of return to employment after traumatic brain injury: A longitudinal follow-up. Archives of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation 2002; 83: 635-641.
- Kreutzer, J., Sander, A., & Witol, A. The supported employment model of vocational rehabilitation following brain injury. In: Frommelt, P. & Grotzbach, H., eds. NeuroRehabilitation. Berlin: Blackwell Wissenschafts-Verlag; 1999.
- Malec, J.F., Buffington, A.L., Moessner, A.M., & Degiorgio, L. Evaluation of a medical/vocational case coordination system for persons with brain injury: An evaluation of employment outcomes. Archives of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation 2000; 81(8): 1007-1015.
- Novack, T.A., Bush, B.A., Meythaler, J.M., & Canupp, K. Outcome after traumatic brain injury: Pathway analysis of contributions from premorbid, injury severity, and

- recovery variables. Archives of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation 2001; 82(3): 300-305.
- Sander, A., Kreutzer, J., & Fernandez, C. Neurobehavioral functioning, substance abuse, and employment after brain injury: Implications for vocational rehabilitation. Journal of Head Trauma Rehabilitation 1997; 12(5): 28-41.
- Sander, A., Kreutzer, J.S., Rosenthal, M., Delmonico, R., & Young, M.E. A multicenter longitudinal investigation of return to work and community integration following traumatic brain injury. Journal of Head Trauma Rehabilitation 1996; 11(5): 70-84.
- Sherer, M., Bergloff, P., High, W.M., Nick, T.G. Contribution of functional ratings to prediction of long-term employment outcome after traumatic brain injury. Brain Injury 1999; 13(12): 973-981.
- Sherer, M., Bergloff, P., Levin, E., High, W.M. Jr., Oden, K.E., Nick, T.G. Impaired awareness and employment outcome after traumatic brain injury. Journal of Head Trauma Rehabilitation 1998; 13(5): 52-61.
- Sherer, M., Madison, C.F., & Hannay, H.J. A review of outcome after moderate and severe closed head injury with an introduction to life care planning. Journal of Head Trauma Rehabilitation 2000; 15(2): 767-782.
- Wagner, A., Hammond, F.M., Grigsby, G., Wiercisiewski, D., & Norton, H.J. Use of injury severity variables in determining disability and community integration after traumatic brain injury. Journal of Trauma 2000; 49(3): 411-419.
- Wagner, A.K., Hammond, F.M., Sasser, H.C., & Wiercisiewski, D. Return to productive activity after traumatic brain injury: Relationship with measures of disability, handicap, and community integration. Archives of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation 2001; 83: 107-114.
- Wehman, P. & Bricout, J. Supported employment and natural supports: A critique and analysis. In: Revell, G., Inge, K., Mank, D., & Wehman, P., eds. (1999) The Impact of Supported Employment for People with Significant Disabilities: Preliminary findings of the National Supported Employment Consortium. Richmond, VA: VCU RRTC.
- Wehman, P. & Bricout, J. Supported employment: Critical issues and new directions. In: Revell, G., Inge, K., Mank, D., &Wehman, P., eds. (1999) The Impact of Supported Employment for People with Significant Disabilities: Preliminary findings of the National Supported Employment Consortium. Richmond, VA: VCU RRTC.
- Wehman, P., Bricout, J., & Targett, P. Supported employment for persons with traumatic

- brain injury: A guide for implementation. In: Fraser, R. & Clemmons, D., eds. Traumatic Brain Injury Rehabilitation: Practical, Vocational, Neuropsychological and Psychotherapy Interventions. CRC Press LLC: Washington, D.C.: 2000.
- Wehman, P., Brooke, V., West, M., Sherron-Targett, P., Green, H., Inge, K., & Kregel, J. Competitive employment for persons with disabilities: Overcoming the obstacles. In: Grabois, M., Garrision, S.J., Hart, K.A., & Lehmkuhl, L.D., eds. (2000) Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation: The Complete Approach. Malden, MA: Blackwell Science.
- Wehman, P., Kregel, J., Keyser-Marcus, L., Sherron-Targett, P., Campbell, L., West, M., and Cifu, D.X. Supported employment for persons with traumatic brain injury: A preliminary investigation of long-term follow-up costs and program efficiency. Archives of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation 2003; 84(2): 192-196.
- Wehman, P., Kregel, J., West, M., & Cifu, D. Return to work for patients with traumatic brain injury: Analysis of costs. American Journal of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation 1994; 73(4): 280-282.
- Wehman, P., Kreutzer, J., West, M., Sherron, P., Diambra, J., Fry, R., Groah, C., Sale, P., & Killam, S. Employment outcomes of persons following traumatic brain injury: Pre-injury, post-injury, and supported employment. Brain Injury 1989; 3(4): 397-412.
- Wehman, P., Kreutzer, J., West, M., Sherron, P., Zasler, N., Groah, C., Stonnington, H., Burns, C., & Sale, P. Return to work for persons with traumatic brain injury: A supported employment approach. Archives of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation 1990; 71(13): 1047-1052.
- Wehman, P.H., West, M.D., Dregel, J., Sherron, P., & Kreutzer, J.S. Return to work for persons with severe traumatic brain injury: A data-based approach to program development. Journal of Head Trauma Rehabilitation 1995; 10(1): 27-39.
- Wehman, P.H. Kreutzer, J.S., West, M.D., Sherron, P.D., Zasler, N.D., Groah, C.H., Stonnington, H.H., Burns, C.T., & Sale, P.R. Return to work for persons with traumatic brain injury: A supported employment approach. Archives of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation 1990; 71(13): 1047-1052.
- Wehman, P., Revell, W., & Grant W. Transition into supported employment for young adults with severe disabilities: Current practices and future directions. Journal of Vocational Rehabilitation 1997; 8(1): 65-74.
- Wehman, P., Revell, G., & Kregel, J. Supported employment: A decade of rapid growth and impact. American Rehabilitation 1998; 24(1): 31-43.
- Wehman, P., Whyte, J. & Hart, T. Employment outcomes of persons following traumatic

- brain injury: Pre-injury, post-injury, and supported employment. Archives of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation 1989; 71: 1047-1052.
- West, M.D. Aspects of the workplace and return to work for persons with brain injuries in supported employment. Brain Injury 1995; 9(3): 301-313.
- West, M., Wehman, P., Kregel, J., Kreutzer, J., Sherron, P., & Zasler, N.. Costs of operating a supported work program for traumatically brain injured individuals. Archives of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation 1991; 72(2): 127-131.

^{*}For more information about these publications and/or to locate others, please go to the Traumatic Brain Injury Model Systems National Data Center website at <www.TBINDC.org> and click on "The TBI Research and Publications Registry."